

Accumulation of biologically fixed nitrogen by legumes cultivated as cover crops in Switzerland

Lucie Büchi · Claude-Alain Gebhard ·
Frank Liebisch · Sokrat Sinaj · Hans Ramseier ·
Raphaël Charles

Received: 26 January 2015 / Accepted: 8 April 2015 / Published online: 23 April 2015
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

Abstract

Aims Biological nitrogen fixation by legumes is expected to play a greater role in future cropping systems. Our study evaluated 19 legume species grown as cover crops in Swiss agroecosystems.

Methods Two field experiments were set up to monitor the biomass production and nitrogen content of 19 legumes and two non-legumes. The proportion of nitrogen derived from atmospheric N₂ (%Nd_{fa}) was assessed using the ¹⁵N natural abundance method. In parallel, a pot experiment was set up to determine the species-specific *B* values necessary to apply this method.

Results Some species produced an important amount of biomass in 3 months, up to 6.86 t/ha for *Vicia faba*. Five species, *Lathyrus sativus*, *Pisum sativum*, *Vicia sativa*, *Vicia villosa*, and *V. faba*, acquired more than 100 kg/ha of N through biological fixation. Important

amounts of nitrogen were also derived from the soil. %Nd_{fa} values showed high variability between and within species, ranging from 0 % to almost 100 %.

Conclusions Some legumes showed high N accumulation even in a short growing period, and could play an important role in fixing renewable nitrogen in crop rotation.

Keywords ¹⁵N natural abundance method · *B* value · Legumes · Nitrogen accumulation · Symbiotic N₂ fixation

Introduction

With the ongoing decrease of fossil fuel reserves, the reliance of agricultural systems on industrial fertilizers, especially nitrogen fertilizers, must be challenged. In this respect, the revival of legumes in crop rotation plays an important role (Bohlool et al. 1992). About 20–22 million tons of nitrogen (N) are fixed each year globally by crop legumes through biological fixation, compared with 85 million tons of N applied as fertilizer (Peoples et al. 2009). Thus, a potential for an increased utilization of biologically fixed N exists. Legumes are used as seed or forage crops, as cover crops between cash crops, or associated with other crops. In Europe, the area sown with grain legumes has decreased from 4.6 to 1.8 % of arable land in 50 years (Bues et al. 2013) and varied regionally between 0.5 and 6.5 % of the arable land (GL-Pro 2005). The environmental benefits of introducing grain legumes in the crop rotation are largely

Responsible Editor: Martin Weih.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2476-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

L. Büchi · S. Sinaj · R. Charles (✉)
Agroscope, Institute for Plant Production Sciences, Route de
Duillier 50, CP 1012, 1260 Nyon 1, Switzerland
e-mail: raphael.charles@agroscope.admin.ch

C.-A. Gebhard · H. Ramseier
School of Agricultural, Forest and Food sciences HAFL,
3052 Zollikofen, Switzerland

F. Liebisch
ETH Zurich, Institute of Agricultural Sciences,
Universitätsstrasse 2, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

brought by N fixation (Nemecek et al. 2008). Legumes modify the N cycle in different ways and proportions, depending on their utilization. As grain crops, the input of legumes to the N pool is limited as a great amount of N is exported with the grain (Peoples et al. 2009). In contrast, cultivated in intercropping, legumes are known to transfer N to the associated crop or plants in grassland systems (Høgh-Jensen and Schjoerring 1994; Dahlin and Stenberg 2010; Pirhofer-Walzl et al. 2012; Frankow-Lindberg and Dahlin 2013) and in arable systems (Jensen 1996a, b, c; Moyer-Henry et al. 2006; Hauggaard-Nielsen et al. 2009). As cover crops, legumes are expected to offer additional agro-system services, along with N fixation (Thorup-Kristensen et al. 2003; Justes et al. 2012). Similarly to non-legume cover crops, legumes can increase N availability to the succeeding crop as well as reduce N leaching, sustain soil organic matter formation, improve soil structure, and reduce weed pressure (Thorup-Kristensen et al. 2003; Justes et al. 2012). However, the additional N inputs brought by legumes to the cycle can promote weed growth or increase N leaching and denitrification (Thorup-Kristensen et al. 2003; Hofstra and Bouwman 2005; Justes et al. 2012). Therefore, the balance between positive and negative effects of legumes strongly depends on species-intrinsic properties such as growth rate, N uptake ability and symbiotic association rate, and on extrinsic factors such as timing of seeding, destruction modalities, pedo-climatic conditions, and soil type. Thus, legumes have to be properly managed in order to increase their usefulness in agricultural systems (Unkovich et al. 1997).

Consequently, the amount of N accumulated by the crops during the growing period is a key factor for the optimal integration of legumes in a rotation. In addition, the separate evaluation of the different N origins is important in order to estimate the amount of N derived from fixation and the amount accumulated from the soil solution. Biological N fixation is highly variable depending on the species and on environmental conditions. The proportion of total plant N resulting from biological fixation (%Ndfa) can vary from 5 to 97 % (Unkovich and Pate 2000). Many parameters determine the intensity of N fixation, particularly legume species, soil fertility, fertilization rate, soil tillage and climatic conditions (Jensen 1996c; Carranca et al. 1999; van Kessel and Hartley 2000).

Several methods aim at evaluating the rate of biological N fixation (Unkovich et al. 2008). Among these, the

^{15}N natural abundance method has been rather successfully applied in agricultural systems (Amarger et al. 1979; Shearer and Kohl 1986; Unkovich et al. 2008). The use of this method requires an accurate evaluation of the so-called B value, which corresponds to the “ $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of shoots of legumes that are solely dependent upon N_2 fixation and sampled at the same growth stage as the field plants” (Unkovich et al. 2008). B values can be found in the literature for several legume species. However, they are known to be influenced by several factors such as cultivar, growth stage, rhizobial strain, and soil conditions. So, ideally, B values have to be assessed in the same conditions as the field experiment, with plants to be sampled at the same growth stage as the plants sampled in the field, and with the same rhizobial strains.

In this study, 19 legume species were cultivated in two field experiments in Switzerland, in order to assess their potential use as cover crops in the context of a short 3-month growing period. In particular, we aimed to evaluate the performance of each species in terms of biomass production, N accumulation, and the amount of N derived from biological fixation by the ^{15}N natural abundance method. At the same time, specific B values were determined in a pot experiment for the 19 legumes.

Materials and methods

Nineteen legume species were chosen according to their actual or potential use as cover crops in Swiss agroecosystems, including some Mediterranean species (Table 1). *Phacelia* (*Phacelia tanacetifolia*) and oat (*Avena sativa*) were used as non-legume reference cover crops.

Field experiments

The field experiments were conducted in 2011 at two sites in Switzerland (Changins: 46° 24' N–06° 14' E, 426 m asl; Zollikofen: 46° 59' N–07° 28' E, 544 m asl). The two sites had similar soil clay content and pH and showed comparable cumulative rainfall from seeding to harvest but differed markedly in the soil organic matter and mineral N content (0–90 cm, bare soil) in mid November (Table 2). The cover crops were sown in plots (10 m²) with a plot seeder at the beginning of August, after a winter wheat crop (straw exported, ploughed). Seeding rates were adapted from

Table 1 Targeted plant density of the studied legume species (English name, Latin name, and cultivar when available)

| Species | Latin name | Cultivar | Targeted plant density (pl/m ²) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Chickpea | <i>Cicer arietinum</i> | Unknown, from Canada | 150 |
| Soybean | <i>Glycine max</i> | Gallec | 150 |
| Grasspea | <i>Lathyrus sativus</i> | Merkur | 150 |
| Lentil | <i>Lens culinaris</i> | Unknown, from Canada | 150 |
| White lupin | <i>Lupinus albus</i> | Amiga | 150 |
| Alfalfa | <i>Medicago sativa</i> | Sanditi | 1000 |
| White sweet clover | <i>Melilotus albus</i> | Unknown | 1500 |
| Field pea | <i>Pisum sativum</i> | Hardy | 100 |
| Berseem clover | <i>Trifolium alexandrinum</i> | Tigri | 600 |
| Crimson clover | <i>Trifolium incarnatum</i> | Carmina | 800 |
| Red clover | <i>Trifolium pratense</i> | Suez | 1000 |
| White clover | <i>Trifolium repens</i> | Pepsi | 2500 |
| Persian clover | <i>Trifolium resupinatum</i> | Lightning | 1500 |
| Subterranean clover | <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> | Daliak | 500 |
| Fenugreek | <i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> | Unknown | 400 |
| Faba bean | <i>Vicia faba</i> | Fuego | 150 |
| Hungarian vetch | <i>Vicia pannonica</i> | Beta | 250 |
| Common vetch | <i>Vicia sativa</i> | Ebena | 250 |
| Hairy vetch | <i>Vicia villosa</i> | Unknown | 250 |
| Oat | <i>Avena sativa</i> | Expander | 400 |
| Phacelia | <i>Phacelia tanacetifolia</i> | Boratus | 400 |

recommended rates for cash crops to fit to a utilization as cover crops (Table 1). Target plant density was homogenized among species as a function of plant architecture and to offer a consistent high soil cover. The experimental design followed a randomized complete block design with three replicates. No fertilization and no inoculation with specific rhizobia were done, in order to correspond to basic management of cover crops.

Table 2 Characteristics of the two sites used for the field experiments

| | Climate | | Soil | | | |
|------------|------------------|---------------|----------|-----|---------|-------------------|
| | Temperature (°C) | Rainfall (mm) | Clay (%) | pH | SOM (%) | Mineral N (kg/ha) |
| Changins | 15.8 | 233 | 23 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 78 |
| Zollikofen | 13.9 | 199 | 20 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 124 |

The temperature corresponds to the mean value from sowing (beginning of August) to harvest (beginning of November), and the rainfall to the cumulated rainfall values during the same period
SOM soil organic matter

At the beginning of November, the whole plot surfaces were machine harvested at 5 cm, and total above-ground dry matter of each crop was determined (drying at 65 °C for 48 h). The biomass N content (%N) was determined according to the Swiss standard methods (FAL et al. 2004). Shoot N yields (N_{tot}, in kg/ha) were calculated from the product of biomass and N concentration (%N).

The measurement of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values and additionally the %C and/or %N of the samples was performed using a Flash EA 1112 Series elemental analyzer (Thermo Italy, former CE Instruments, Rhodano, Italy) coupled to a Finnigan MAT Delta^{plus}XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany) via a 6-port valve (Brooks et al. 2003) and a ConFlo III (Werner et al. 1999). The measurements of the δ values and used laboratory standards followed the scheme described by Werner and Brand (2001). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values are expressed in per million and represent the deviation from atmospheric ^{15}N concentration assumed to be constant at 0.3663 atom% ^{15}N . For each legume, the part of N derived from atmospheric N_2 (%Ndfa) was computed

using the ^{15}N natural abundance method (Amarger et al. 1979; Unkovich et al. 2008). This method takes advantage of the naturally higher ^{15}N concentration of the N available in the soil compared with atmospheric N_2 .

$$\% \text{Ndfa} = 100 \frac{\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}} - \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{leg}}}{\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}} - B}$$

where $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{leg}}$ is the isotopic composition of the N-fixing legume, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}}$ is the isotopic composition of a non- N_2 -fixing species (reference species), and B is the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of shoots of the respective legume when grown in total dependence upon N_2 fixation. B values were assessed specifically for this study in a separate pot experiment (see below).

For each cover crop species, %Ndfa was computed separately for each site and each replicate (to reduce the influence of spatial variation in ^{15}N abundance on the %Ndfa estimates). To obtain accurate estimations of %Ndfa, the use of several reference plants is recommended (Unkovich et al. 2008). Ideally, the reference plants should have a root system and N uptake ability similar to the legumes studied, in order to correctly reflect the N pool available for the legumes in the soil. It is assumed that dicot species are closer to legumes than grasses in terms of root system (Unkovich and Pate 2000), but both types of species have been used in the literature. Here, phacelia was chosen as a dicot reference plant, and oat as an alternative monocot reference, known to grow well as cover crop on the studied sites. For each legume, 12 %Ndfa values were provided, resulting from the combination of the two sites, three replicates and two reference plants.

When necessary, the %Ndfa values $< 0\%$ ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the legume species higher than the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the reference plant) were corrected to 0% (11 cases from 228). The %Ndfa values $> 100\%$ ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the legume species smaller than the corresponding B value) were rounded to 100% (20 cases from 228), following the recommendations of Unkovich et al. (2008) for use when less than 10% of legume samples are concerned. For these cases, an alternative correction method was also applied for comparison. This method consisted in using the lowest $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values observed in the field as the full N_2 fixing reference instead of the measured B value, which is sometimes proposed when B values cannot be determined experimentally (Peoples et al. 1997, 2002; Unkovich et al. 2008; Roscher et al. 2011).

The total quantity of N symbiotically fixed in the aboveground biomass Ndfa (kg/ha) was computed from the product of %Ndfa and N_{tot} . For each legume in each replicate, the mean %Ndfa between the values obtained from the two reference plants was used. The quantity of N accumulated from the soil was derived by subtraction of Ndfa from the total N content of dry biomass.

Overall and within site analyses of variance were performed to test for the effect of sites and species on the main variables presented here. All data and statistical analyses were done using R 3.1.1 (R Core Team 2014).

Determination of the B values

B values are known to be influenced by several important factors. The rhizobial strains responsible for N fixation may exhibit differential isotopic fractionation properties (Ledgard 1989; Unkovich et al. 1994, 2008). Different host cultivars or genotypes can show divergent isotopic fractionation (Unkovich et al. 1994), which is also influenced by soil moisture and nutrition levels (Ledgard 1989). Just after emergence, shoot $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ reflects the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the seed reserves and then decreases gradually with plant growth as symbiotic activity increases and shoots accumulate fixed N (Unkovich et al. 1994, 2008; Boddey et al. 2000). Thus, plant growth stage also influences B values. The harvested plant organs have to be identical for B value determination and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ field measures, because roots and shoots exhibit contrasted $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, with accumulation of ^{15}N in nodules (Turner and Bergersen 1983; Yoneyama et al. 1986). Thus, the best way to obtain reliable B values is to experimentally determine these values under the same conditions as the studied setting. For these reasons, an experiment where all 19 legume species were grown in 10 L pots (3 replicates) was set up in 2011 in the same period, in Zollikofen, close to field conditions. The pots were placed outside, protected from the rain by a plastic cover, with ambient light and temperature conditions.

The pots were filled with quartz sand (washed and sterilized at 105°C for 24 h), and then inoculated with a soil suspension obtained from a fresh soil sample from Changins. This soil was chosen because, in preceding years, it supported the cultivation of various legume crops with the appropriate rhizobium inoculation. The seeds were sterilized using the method described in Hellsten and Huss-Danell (2000). The pre-germinated seedlings were then transplanted into the pots (8 plants/pot). Pots were watered as needed with an N-free

nutrient solution adapted from Unkovich et al. (1994). The nutrient solution contained distilled water and macronutrients (mM): MgSO_4 (2), CaCl_2 (2), K_2SO_4 (2), KH_2PO_4 (0.5), K_2HPO_4 (0.5), and Fe-EDTA (1) and trace elements (μM) H_3BO_3 (4.5), MnCl_2 (1.8), ZnCl_2 (1), CuSO_4 (0.3), Na_2MoO_4 (0.1), and CoCl_2 (0.2).

After harvest, aboveground biomass was dried at 50 °C for 72 h and then analyzed to determine shoot $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ sample ratios as described above, and to compute the corresponding B values. For each legume, the mean B value was used in order to assess %Ndfa.

Results

B values

B values differed significantly between species (Table 3), ranging from -2.6‰ for *Cicer arietinum* to -0.08‰ for *Vicia faba* (Table 4). Most of them (14 out of 19) lay between 0 and -1‰ . Five species, *Vicia sativa*, *Trifolium alexandrinum*, *Lens culinaris*, *Glycine max*, and *C. arietinum*, showed B values lower than -1‰ . Intraspecific variation in B value was low, except in *Trigonella foenum-graecum* (Fig. 1).

Biologically fixed and total nitrogen concentration

The mean isotopic composition $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in the two field experiments was lower in the legumes than in the two reference species (Table 4). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of phacelia was consistently higher than that of oat. Some legumes also showed high $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in the field: *C. arietinum*, *G. max*, *Lupinus albus*, *Trifolium subterraneum*, and *T. foenum-graecum*. The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were generally higher in Zollikofen than in Changins (respectively 1.83 and 0.09, $p<0.001$; Table 3). The values of the two reference plants, oat and phacelia, were also markedly lower in Changins (Table 4).

Consequently, this site showed higher mean %Ndfa than Zollikofen (respectively 68 and 59 %), though this difference was not significant ($p>0.05$; Table 3), due to the high variability between species, ranging from 7 to 100 % (Fig. 2). Species differed significantly in their %Ndfa ($p<0.001$; Table 3), and the interaction with the experimental site was also significant ($p=0.010$; Table 3). Four species, *Trifolium repens*, *Lathyrus sativus*, *Vicia villosa*, and *Vicia pannonica*, showed a mean %Ndfa

Table 3 Overall analyses of variance for the measured variables

| | df | B value | | df | $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ | | %Ndfa | | %N | | Biomass | | Ndfa | | Ntot | | C/N | |
|--------------|----|-----------|--------|----|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------|------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| | | MS | p | | MS | p | MS | p | MS | p | MS | p | MS | p | MS | p | MS | p |
| Site | – | – | – | 1 | 86.87 | <0.001 | 1888 | 0.121 | 0.02 | 0.772 | 2.72 | 0.098 | 3534 | 0.058 | 1936 | 0.037 | 16.1 | 0.203 |
| Error | – | – | – | 4 | 0.82 | | 488 | | 0.23 | | 0.59 | | 512 | | 203 | | 7.0 | |
| Species | 18 | 0.92 | <0.001 | 18 | 6.02 | <0.001 | 2989 | <0.001 | 4.17 | <0.001 | 14.24 | <0.001 | 10,742 | <0.001 | 12,662 | <0.001 | 179.7 | <0.001 |
| Site:species | – | – | – | 18 | 2.31 | <0.001 | 542 | 0.010 | 0.31 | <0.001 | 0.99 | <0.001 | 1471 | 0.007 | 1545 | <0.001 | 15.1 | <0.001 |
| Error | 36 | 0.04 | | 72 | 0.41 | | 248 | | 0.10 | | 0.28 | | 646 | | 529 | | 3.4 | |

df degree of freedom, MS mean square, p p value

Table 4 Mean values and analyses of variance for the measured variables, for each site separately

| Species | B value | $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ | | N (%) | | Biomass (t/ha) | | Ndfa (kg/ha) | | Ntot (kg/ha) | | C/N | |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | Pots | C | Z | C | Z | C | Z | C | Z | C | Z | C |
| <i>Cicer arietinum</i> | −2.60 | 1.32 | 3.98 | 2.03 | 2.25 | 1.11 | 0.40 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 9 | 21.5 | 20.4 |
| <i>Glycine max</i> | −1.46 | 0.81 | 5.36 | 2.88 | 1.75 | 4.83 | 3.41 | 55 | 4 | 143 | 60 | 16.2 | 24.3 |
| <i>Lathyrus sativus</i> | −0.54 | −0.39 | 0.87 | 4.05 | 4.44 | 3.99 | 2.95 | 149 | 101 | 161 | 129 | 10.7 | 9.6 |
| <i>Lens culinaris</i> | −1.18 | −0.37 | 0.49 | 3.03 | 3.39 | 2.23 | 3.20 | 50 | 81 | 67 | 108 | 14.1 | 13.0 |
| <i>Lupinus albus</i> | −0.74 | 0.70 | 4.47 | 1.60 | 1.24 | 5.60 | 4.68 | 40 | 9 | 88 | 59 | 27.8 | 37.2 |
| <i>Medicago sativa</i> | −0.79 | 0.64 | 0.81 | 2.95 | 3.68 | 2.03 | 2.27 | 27 | 62 | 60 | 83 | 14.9 | 12.5 |
| <i>Melilotus albus</i> | −0.91 | 0.15 | 1.39 | 3.03 | 3.50 | 1.72 | 1.57 | 32 | 35 | 52 | 55 | 14.8 | 12.7 |
| <i>Pisum sativum</i> | −0.56 | 0.13 | 1.09 | 3.01 | 3.14 | 5.52 | 4.46 | 115 | 102 | 166 | 139 | 14.4 | 12.9 |
| <i>Trifolium alexandrinum</i> | −1.11 | 0.43 | 0.71 | 2.33 | 2.54 | 3.24 | 3.19 | 35 | 59 | 76 | 81 | 18.5 | 17.2 |
| <i>Trifolium incarnatum</i> | −0.67 | −0.58 | 1.57 | 3.22 | 2.77 | 3.27 | 3.25 | 90 | 57 | 105 | 90 | 13.6 | 15.3 |
| <i>Trifolium pratense</i> | −0.83 | −0.19 | 1.14 | 3.42 | 3.38 | 1.40 | 1.61 | 36 | 37 | 47 | 54 | 13.1 | 13.3 |
| <i>Trifolium repens</i> | −0.53 | −0.28 | 0.66 | 3.77 | 3.95 | 0.97 | 1.47 | 32 | 47 | 36 | 58 | 11.4 | 11.2 |
| <i>Trifolium resupinatum</i> | −0.81 | −0.51 | 0.72 | 2.88 | 3.28 | 2.17 | 2.61 | 46 | 65 | 62 | 85 | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> | −0.81 | −0.08 | 3.20 | 3.44 | 2.83 | 1.70 | 1.49 | 43 | 19 | 59 | 43 | 12.7 | 15.2 |
| <i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> | −0.42 | 0.84 | 4.37 | 2.28 | 1.93 | 3.84 | 1.76 | 62 | 7 | 90 | 33 | 19.6 | 22.9 |
| <i>Vicia faba</i> | −0.08 | 0.29 | 1.17 | 2.71 | 2.68 | 7.45 | 6.27 | 172 | 129 | 204 | 169 | 16.5 | 17.1 |
| <i>Vicia pannonica</i> | −0.14 | −0.34 | 1.12 | 4.23 | 4.80 | 1.90 | 2.00 | 78 | 74 | 80 | 96 | 10.2 | 9.5 |
| <i>Vicia sativa</i> | −1.09 | −0.36 | 0.58 | 4.04 | 4.00 | 3.54 | 4.39 | 107 | 131 | 143 | 176 | 10.4 | 10.2 |
| <i>Vicia villosa</i> | −0.35 | −0.50 | 1.15 | 4.44 | 4.32 | 3.57 | 3.23 | 159 | 100 | 159 | 135 | 10.0 | 10.3 |
| <i>Avena sativa</i> | | 1.48 | 5.07 | 1.04 | 1.40 | 3.60 | 4.82 | | | 38 | 68 | 40.5 | 32.9 |
| <i>Phacelia tanacetifolia</i> | | 2.24 | 6.13 | 1.05 | 1.34 | 5.23 | 5.50 | | | 55 | 74 | 37.5 | 33.8 |
| Site mean ^a | −0.82 | 0.09 | 1.83 | 3.12 | 3.15 | 3.16 | 2.85 | 70 | 59 | 96 | 88 | 15.0 | 15.7 |
| <i>p</i> value ^b | <0.001 | 0.040 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 5 % LSD | 0.32 | 1.14 | 0.96 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 1.04 | 0.72 | 55 | 23 | 47 | 26 | 3.2 | 2.9 |

Ndfa nitrogen derived from atmospheric N₂, Ntot total aboveground nitrogen, C/N carbon/nitrogen ratio, C Changins, Z Zollikofen

^a The site means and analyses of variance are computed without the two reference plants oat and phacelia

^b Within site analyses of variance for differences among species

higher than 80 %, and two species, *C. arietinum* and *G. max*, a mean %Ndfa lower than 20 %.

The use of the alternative method to assess %Ndfa in the cases where it exceeded 100 % led to the following changes in the mean estimated values of the concerned species: for *L. sativus* from 84.5 to 82.7 %, for *Trifolium incarnatum* from 75.7 to 68.0 %, for *T. repens* from 84.0 to 83.5 %, for *Trifolium resupinatum* from 74.4 to 59.7 %, for *V. faba* from 78.6 to 74.5 %, for *V. pannonica* from 87.6 to 79.2 %, and for *V. villosa* from 87.2 to 82.4 %.

The %Ndfa obtained with phacelia as reference plant was systematically higher than that estimated with oat as reference plant (mean difference of 7 %, paired *t* test, $p < 0.001$), due to their difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. However, the correlation between the mean %Ndfa estimated with oat and phacelia was very high (Kendall's rank correlation tau, 0.89; $p < 0.001$).

Nitrogen concentration in shoots %N also varied between species ($p < 0.001$; Table 3), with mean values lower than 2 % for *L. albus* and mean values higher than 4 % for *L. sativus*, *V. pannonica*, *V. sativa*, and *V. villosa*




Fig. 1 *B* values from the pot experiment (boxplots) and from the literature (crosses, the median literature value is represented by the circle). The literature value points for *Lupinus albus* correspond to data for *Lupinus angustifolius*. For data sources, see Online resource 1

(Table 4). Nitrogen concentration %N correlated positively with %Nd_fa at the two sites (Kendall's rank correlation tau, 0.65, $p < 0.001$ in Changins and 0.56, $p < 0.001$ in Zollikofen). In Zollikofen, %Nd_fa apparently reached a limit around 80 %, regardless of the %N value achieved, whereas in Changins, %Nd_fa continued to increase with increasing %N values (Table 4). The mean carbon/nitrogen ratio (C/N) varied between species ($p < 0.001$; Table 3), from 9.8 in *V. pannonica* to 32.5 in *L. albus* (Table 4). As expected, oat and *Phacelia* had high C/N ratios of 36.7 and 35.7, respectively. The average N concentration and C/N ratio were similar between sites (Table 3).

Biomass production and nitrogen accumulation

The mean dry biomass accumulated by legumes during the three months period was highly variable ($p < 0.001$; Table 3), ranging from a minimum of 0.75 t/ha in *C. arietinum* to a maximum of 6.86 t/ha in *V. faba* (Table 4). For comparison, the two non-legume reference plants reached a mean biomass of 4.21 t/ha in oat and 5.37 t/ha in phacelia. The corresponding total amounts of N accumulated above ground were 16 kg/ha for *C. arietinum* and 186 kg/ha for *V. faba* (Table 4). Oat and phacelia accumulated respectively 53 and 64 kg/ha, exclusively from the soil solution.




Fig. 2 %Ndfa for each legume species, for the two different sites. White, Changins; black, Zollikofen. Each box represents the distribution of the $n=6$ estimations (3 replicates \times 2 reference plants) of %Ndfa in each site. Different letters (within each site) indicate

significant differences in mean %Ndfa according to a Tukey HSD post hoc test performed independently for each site (within site analyses of variance for differences among species are significant at each site)

Concerning the quantity of N acquired through biological N_2 fixation, five species, *Pisum sativum*, *V. sativa*, *L. sativus*, *V. villosa*, and *V. faba*, exceeded 100 kg/ha (Table 4). The quantity of N derived from the soil by the legumes could reach high values, similar to those observed for the two non-legume reference plants. In particular, *G. max* removed on average 70 kg N/ha from the soil in 3 months, which was more than the uptake of oat and phacelia.

The quantities of N accumulated were significantly linked to biomass production and the rates varied as a function of the sources. Modeled by linear regression, this led to a total accumulation rate of about 25 kg of N/t of DM (Changins: $N_{tot}=16+25*DM$, $R^2=0.73$, $p<0.001$; Zollikofen: $N_{tot}=18+25*DM$, $R^2=0.58$, $p<0.001$), with 18 kg N/t DM coming from the atmosphere (Changins: $Ndfa=12+18*DM$, $R^2=0.43$, $p=0.002$; Zollikofen: $Ndfa=9+17*DM$, $R^2=0.36$, $p=0.006$), and 7 kg N/t DM from the soil (Changins:

$N_{soil}=4+7*DM$, $R^2=0.33$, $p=0.010$; Zollikofen: $N_{soil}=9+7*DM$, $R^2=0.62$, $p<0.001$).

The amount of aboveground biomass was not correlated with either %N (Kendall's rank correlation tau, -0.18 , $p=0.30$ in Changins, -0.16 , $p=0.37$ in Zollikofen) or %Ndfa (Kendall's rank correlation tau, -0.02 , $p=0.95$ in Changins, 0.03 , $p=0.89$ in Zollikofen).

Discussion

B values

In general, the *B* values measured in this study were in the range of the values found in the literature, except for *C. arietinum* (lower values) and *V. faba* (higher values) (Fig. 1). The species for which no literature values were found tended to have *B* values similar to those of closely

related species. For example, *T. incarnatum* had values similar to the other *Trifolium* species, *L. albus* similar to *Lupinus angustifolius*, and *V. pannonica* similar to *V. faba*.

The values found in the literature show that *B* values vary significantly between species but above all within species (Fig. 1; Online Resource 1). Notably, literature *B* values ranged from −3.61 (Okito et al. 2004) to +1 (Turner and Bergersen 1983) for *G. max*, from −0.95 (Yoneyama et al. 1986) to +3.14 (Turner and Bergersen 1983) for *Medicago sativa*, from −1.65 (Schipanski and Drinkwater 2012) to +1.88 (Kohl and Shearer 1980) for *Trifolium pratense*, from −2.48 (Riffkin et al. 1999) to +0.78 (Unkovich et al. 2008) for *T. repens* and from −0.78 (Unkovich et al. 2008) to +2.68 (Bergersen and Turner 1983) for *T. subterraneum*. These variations within species can be explained by several factors, such as crop variety, developmental stage, rhizobial strain, and pedo-climatic conditions, which are known to influence *B* values (Unkovich et al. 1994, 2008). These could thus explain the variations observed between literature data and our own results on one hand and among literature data on the other hand. This indicates the necessity to identify specific *B* values when simultaneously studying different species. When specific *B* values cannot be determined, using the median value of literature values could be a good alternative. However the comparison of the median literature values with the *B* values determined in this study showed important discrepancies for some species such as *C. arietinum*, *V. faba*, *L. culinaris* and *T. subterraneum* (Fig. 1). Mean %Nd_{fa} based on the median literature *B* values differed from %Nd_{fa} evaluated in this study by more than 10 % for *L. culinaris*, *T. subterraneum* and *V. faba*. However, this apparently reasonable difference would have led to a significant misestimation of the quantity of N acquired through biological fixation by those species.

Biologically fixed nitrogen

For the species that had no growth problem on our experimental sites, the %Nd_{fa} values observed were similar to those reported in literature (Unkovich and Pate 2000; Peoples et al. 2009). The %Nd_{fa} depends not only on the species but also on the quantity of N available from the soil (Høgh-Jensen and Schjoerring 1994; Unkovich and Pate 2000). Here, lower %Nd_{fa} were observed in Zollikofen compared with Changins, which can be explained by the difference in the soil

mineral N values measured at the end of the growing period (124 kg/ha in Zollikofen compared with 78 kg/ha in Changins) and the higher soil organic matter content in Zollikofen. In addition, %Nd_{fa} attained an upper limit around 80 % in Zollikofen, while higher values were observed in Changins for the same resulting %N in the aboveground biomass. Some species also showed differential response to the two sites. In particular, *G. max*, *L. albus* and *T. foenum-graecum* achieved very low %Nd_{fa} in Zollikofen, although they produced rather reasonable amounts of dry matter. This could be due to the absence of the appropriate symbiotic rhizobia in the Zollikofen soil, in contrast to Changins where *L. albus* and *G. max* are regularly cultivated. It also suggests that the capacity to establish successful symbiosis may depend on complex plant-rhizobia-soil interactions or on local conditions such as soil pH or moisture at the onset of the field experiment. The high soil pH measured at both sites in this study could have affected a sensitive species like *L. albus*, but the lime activity of soil is known to be low at Changins. Although the lime activity at Zollikofen was unknown, *L. albus* grew well there, presumably making use of soil N. Here %Nd_{fa} values were not correlated with dry matter yield, showing that species producing low biomass were not necessarily limited by a poor functioning of the N₂ fixation processes but rather by soil or climatic limiting factors.

The present study showed that some species were able to fix more than 100 kg/ha of N during a period of just three months. In particular, *V. faba* fixed a lot of N (150 kg/ha), confirming the observations by López-Bellido et al. (2006) for this crop in wheat rotation in Spain. Some species with low %Nd_{fa} exhibited very high accumulation from the soil N, similar to what was observed for the two non-N₂-fixing reference species. Similarly, better fixing legumes absorbed also a significant amount of N from the soil solution. These results show that legume cover crops could also play an important role as catch crops. Due to the very large amounts of nitrogen accumulated by legumes, proper management is required to reduce N leaching potential. Besides climatic conditions, the C/N ratio of the residues is the main factor influencing the dynamics of mineralization of the nitrogen accumulated by the cover crops and consequently its availability for the succeeding crop and the risk of leaching (Thorup-Kristensen and Nielsen 1998; Justes et al. 2009). An optimal management will take into account the timing and modality of the breakdown of biomass,

as well as the identity of the succeeding crop (Justes et al. 2012).

In Switzerland, cover crops are mainly used in the following systems: short-term cover after rapeseed, before winter wheat; long-term cover before spring crops (e.g., summer cereals, sugar beet, sunflower, maize). To avoid too much N accumulation and to optimize C/N ratio, legumes are generally seeded in mixture with other species (e.g., oat, phacelia). In addition, the destruction of the cover crops is performed at minimum 1 month before the seeding of spring crops. Our results will thus help to identify the best performing legumes in terms of biomass and amount of fixed nitrogen and to design new cover crop mixtures.

This study evaluated the nitrogen accumulated in the aboveground biomass (shoots), but it is necessary to consider the N contained in the roots to accurately estimate the total N brought by the legumes into the system. Although shoot/root N ratios are expected to vary with growth conditions, the total N accumulated (shoot+root) could be estimated from published values of such ratios. Applying the specific values of Unkovich and Pate (2000) to our data, we obtained total plant N amount of 27 kg/ha for *C. arietinum* (shoot/root N ratio, 1.4), 137 kg/ha for *L. culinaris* (1.8), 126 kg/ha for *L. albus* (1.4), 143 kg/ha for *M. sativa* (1.0), 310 kg/ha for *V. faba* (1.5), and 235 kg/ha for *V. sativa* (2.1). Rhizodeposition is also an important process responsible for N input in the soil, although its contribution to soil N is difficult to quantify (Fustec et al. 2010). N deposition values ranging from 4 to 71 % of total plant N were reported by Fustec et al. (2010). These cumulative quantities of N are substantial, showing that legumes could be successfully used as short period cover crops if the aim is to increase N inputs in the rotation.

Limits of the work

The applicability and precision of the ^{15}N natural abundance method depends strongly on the accurate determination of the B values and on the choice of the reference plants (Pate et al. 1994; Unkovich et al. 1994). The precision of the used B values is particularly important at high %Nd_{fa} estimates (Unkovich et al. 2008). Thus, the used B values should be determined under conditions most similar to the field conditions including rhizobial strain and developmental stage of plants.

Nevertheless, some species exhibited lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values than their respective B values, leading to unrealistic values of %Nd_{fa} greater than 100 % at Changins. This could be due to differences in the growth conditions or in effective rhizobial-plant association between the field and pot situation (Unkovich et al. 2008). Further, it shows that the use of a field inoculum for the B value determination does not guarantee identical rhizobium symbiosis, although in our experiment, the source of soil suspension was obtained from one of the experimental sites, historically cultivated with different legume species (including *G. max* and *L. albus*, but not *C. arietinum*). These deviating values were rounded to 100 %, but the use of the alternative correction method slightly decreased the estimates. The use of several soil solutions to experimentally determine B values should thus be recommended but would considerably increase the effort and time required and probably goes beyond the aim of most of the studies using the ^{15}N natural abundance method.

The effect of the choice of the reference plant was observed in our experiments by systematically lower values of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in oat compared with phacelia. These low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for oat lead to several estimations of %Nd_{fa} <0, occurring when the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the legumes in the field were higher than that of the reference plant (9 cases with oat, only 2 cases with phacelia as reference plant). In these cases, the symbiosis with rhizobia was probably absent or not effective, or large amounts of N were available from the soil. This suggests that oat was indeed not the best choice for a reference plant in our experiment, although it grew well as cover crop. This confirms that dicot species are probably better reference plants for legumes due to their more similar root systems (Unkovich and Pate 2000). Gehring and Vlek (2004) showed that the use of non-N₂-fixing legume references allowed to overcome the problem of negative %Nd_{fa} estimates.

The accuracy of %Nd_{fa} estimations is also influenced by the level of the soil ^{15}N abundance. Values of reference $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ higher than 2 ‰ (or 4 ‰) are generally recommended for use in %Nd_{fa} computation (Unkovich et al. 2008). Here, the soil ^{15}N available for plants (estimated from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the non-fixing plants oat and phacelia) in the Changins site was lower than in Zollikofen, and was lower than 2 ‰ in oat. Similarly, it is recommended that the difference between the reference $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and B values should be at least 5‰ (Högberg 1997). With the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in Changins, this

minimal difference could not be attained. This can lead to poor accuracy in estimating %Ndfa (Unkovich et al. 1994), as found at this site.

Conclusions

Our study on the performance of 19 legume species, potentially useful as cover crops, showed important differences in terms of biomass production and N accumulation. In addition, this study provided specific *B* values to compare the legume species in terms of symbiotic activity and N accumulation. Substantial amounts of N were accumulated by *V. faba*, *V. villosa*, and *L. sativus*. However, taking into account the seed cost per hectare of the different legumes, the cultivation of *V. villosa* in Changins and *V. sativa* in Zollikofen would be recommended based on the aims and results of this study. The 150 kg/ha of N biologically fixed by *V. faba* in only three months corresponds to about 230 kg/ha oil equivalent in the form of mineral N fertilizer (ecoinvent Centre 2014), making a major contribution to the overall favorable results of legumes in environmental life cycle impact assessments (Nemecek et al. 2008). Legumes support the development of the succeeding crop through N inputs to the system, contribute to the constitution of soil organic matter, and modify the availability of phosphorus (Gallet et al. 2003; Peoples et al. 2009). The best performing legumes are also good candidates for use in crop mixtures, as they promote the performance of associated species. The association of complementary species potentially enlarges the services provided by cover crops and increases their profitability. In addition, legumes can play an important role in mitigating climate change (Jensen et al. 2012). Thus, the important and multiple services provided by legume cover crops bring new and interesting perspectives for the management of the non-productive intercrop period, and the revival of legumes in agroecosystems must be a priority in the near future.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Astrid Oberson for her valuable comments, the BSc students from Zollikofen (*B* value experiment), and all the people who helped in the field and lab work. We also thank Annika Ackermann from the Grassland Sciences group (Prof. Nina Buchmann) at ETH Zurich for stable isotope ratio analyses. The authors are also grateful to Drs. Larry Siwajek and Bernard Jeangros for their respective contributions on the manuscript. This study was partly funded by the Federal Office for Agriculture and by the Swiss National Science Foundation in the framework of the National Research Program NRP 68 “Sustainable Use of Soil as a Resource,” grant 406840-143063.

References

- Amarger N, Mariotti A, Mariotti F, Durr JC, Bourguignon C, Lagacherie B (1979) Estimate of symbiotically fixed nitrogen in field grown soybeans using variations in ^{15}N natural abundance. *Plant Soil* 52:269–280
- Bergersen FJ, Turner GL (1983) An evaluation of ^{15}N methods for estimating nitrogen fixation in a subterranean clover-perennial ryegrass sward. *Aust J Agr Res* 34:391–401
- Boddey RM, Peoples MB, Palmer B, Dart PJ (2000) Use of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique to quantify biological nitrogen fixation by woody perennials. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 57:235–270
- Bohlool BB, Ladha JK, Garrity DP, George T (1992) Biological nitrogen fixation for sustainable agriculture: a perspective. *Plant Soil* 141:1–11
- Brooks PD, Geilmann H, Werner RA, Brand WA (2003) Improved precision of coupled $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measurements from single samples using an elemental analyzer/isotope ratio mass spectrometer combination with a post-column six-port valve and selective CO_2 trapping; improved halide robustness of the combustion reactor using CeO_2 . *Rapid Commun Mass Sp* 17:1924–1926
- Bues A, Preissel S, Reckling M, Zander P, Kuhlman T, Topp K, Watson C, Lindström K, Stoddard FL, Murphy-Bokern D (2013) The environmental role of protein crops in the new common agricultural policy. European Parliament, Directorate General For Internal Policies, policy department B: structural and cohesion policies, agricultural and rural development
- Carranca C, de Varennes A, Rolston D (1999) Biological nitrogen fixation by fababean, pea and chickpea, under field conditions, estimated by the ^{15}N isotope dilution technique. *Eur J Agron* 10:49–56
- Dahlin AS, Stenberg M (2010) Transfer of N from red clover to perennial ryegrass in mixed stands under different cutting strategies. *Eur J Agron* 33(3):149–156
- Ecoinvent Centre (2014) Ecoinvent data—the life cycle inventory data V3.1. Swiss centre for life cycle inventories, Dübendorf, ISBN 3-905594-38-2. Available at <http://www.ecoinvent.org>
- FAL, RAC, FAW (2004) Méthodes de référence des stations fédérales de recherches agronomiques. *Agroscope*, vol. 2, Zurich-Reckenholz
- Frankow-Lindberg BE, Dahlin AS (2013) N_2 fixation, N transfer, and yield in grassland communities including a deep-rooted legume or non-legume species. *Plant Soil* 370:567–581
- Fustec J, Lesuffleur F, Mahieu S, Cliquet JB (2010) Nitrogen rhizodeposition of legumes. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev* 30:57–66
- Gallet A, Flisch R, Ryser JP, Nösberger J, Frossard E, Sinaj S (2003) Uptake of residual phosphate and freshly applied diammonium phosphate by *Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium repens*. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci* 166:557–567
- Gehring C, Vlek PLG (2004) Limitations of the ^{15}N natural abundance method for estimating biological nitrogen fixation in Amazonian forest legumes. *Basic Appl Ecol* 5:567–580
- GL-Pro (2005) Guidelines for growing grain legumes in Europe. GL-Pro concerted Action, p. 8
- Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Gooding M, Ambus P, Corre-Hellou G, Crozat Y, Dahlmann C, Dibet A, Von Fragstein P, Pristeri A, Monti M (2009) Pea–barley intercropping

- for efficient symbiotic N_2 -fixation, soil N acquisition and use of other nutrients in European organic cropping systems. *Field Crops Res* 113:64–71
- Hellsten A, Huss-Danell K (2000) Interaction effects of nitrogen and phosphorus on nodulation in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.). *Acta Agr Scand B-S P* 50:135–142
- Hofstra N, Bouwman AF (2005) Denitrification in agricultural soils: summarizing published data and estimating global annual rates. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosys* 72:267–278
- Högberg P (1997) ^{15}N natural abundance in soil–plant systems: Tansley review No. 95. *New Phytol* 137:179–203
- Høgh-Jensen H, Schjoerring JK (1994) Measurement of biological dinitrogen fixation in grassland: comparison of the enriched ^{15}N dilution and the ^{15}N abundance methods at different nitrogen application rates and defoliation frequencies. *Plant Soil* 166:153–163
- Jensen ES (1996a) Grain yield, symbiotic N_2 fixation and inter-specific competition for inorganic N in pea-barley intercrops. *Plant Soil* 182:25–38
- Jensen ES (1996b) Compared cycling in a soil-plant system of pea and barley residue nitrogen. *Plant Soil* 182:13–23
- Jensen ES (1996c) Nitrogen and grain legumes: advantages and problems to balance. *Grain Legumes* 14:22
- Jensen ES, Peoples MB, Boddey RM, Gresshoff PM, Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Alves BJR, Morrison MJ (2012) Legumes for mitigation of climate change and the provision of feedstock for biofuels and biorefineries. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev* 32:329–364
- Justes E, Mary B, Nicolardot B (2009) Quantifying and modelling C and N mineralization kinetics of catch crop residues in soil: parameterization of the residue decomposition module of STICS model for mature and non mature residues. *Plant Soil* 325:171–185
- Justes E, Beaudoin N, Bertuzzi P, Charles R, Constantin J, Dürr C, Hermon C, Joannon A, Le Bas C, Mary B, Mignolet C, Montfort F, Ruiz L, Sarthou JP, Souchère V, Tournebise J, Savini I, Réchauchère O (2012) The use of cover crops to reduce nitrate leaching: effect on the water and nitrogen balance and other ecosystem services. Synopsis of the study report. INRA, France
- Kohl DH, Shearer G (1980) Isotopic fractionation associated with symbiotic N_2 fixation and uptake of NO_3^- by plants. *Plant Physiol* 66:51–56
- Ledgard SF (1989) Nutrition, moisture and rhizobial strain influence isotopic fractionation during N_2 fixation in pasture legumes. *Soil Biol Biochem* 21:65–68
- López-Bellido L, López-Bellido RJ, Redondo R, Benítez J (2006) Faba bean nitrogen fixation in a wheat-based rotation under rainfed Mediterranean conditions: effect of tillage system. *Field Crops Res* 98:253–260
- Moyer-Henry KA, Burton JW, Israel DW, Rufty TW (2006) Nitrogen transfer between plants: a ^{15}N natural abundance study with crop and weed species. *Plant Soil* 282:7–20
- Nemecek T, von Richthofen JS, Dubois G, Casta P, Charles R, Pahl H (2008) Environmental impacts of introducing grain legumes into European crop rotations. *Eur J Agron* 28:380–393
- Okito A, Alves BJR, Urquiaga S, Boddey RM (2004) Isotopic fractionation during N_2 fixation by four tropical legumes. *Soil Biol Biochem* 36:1179–1190
- Pate JS, Unkovich MJ, Armstrong EL, Sanford P (1994) Selection of reference plants for ^{15}N natural abundance assessment of N_2 fixation by crop and pasture legumes in south-west Australia. *Aust J Agric Res* 45:133–147
- Peoples MB, Turner GL, Shah Z, Aslam M, Ali S, Maskey S, Bhattari S, Afandi F, Schwenke G, Herridge D (1997) Evaluation of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique for measuring N_2 fixation in experimental plots and farmers' fields. In: Rupella OP, Johansen C, Herridge DF (eds) Extending nitrogen fixation research to farmers fields. ICRISAT, Patancheru, pp 57–75
- Peoples MB, Boddey RM, Herridge DF (2002) Quantification of nitrogen fixation. In: Leigh GJ (ed) Nitrogen fixation at the millennium. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, pp 357–389
- Peoples MB, Brockwell J, Herridge DF, Rochester IJ, Alves BJR, Urquiaga S, Boddey RM, Dakora FD, Bhattarai S, Maskey SL, Sampet C, Rerkasem B, Khan DF, Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Jensen ES (2009) The contributions of nitrogen fixing crop legumes to the productivity of agricultural systems. *Symbiosis* 48:1–17
- Pirhofer-Walzl K, Rasmussen J, Høgh-Jensen H, Eriksen J, Soegaard K, Rasmussen J (2012) Nitrogen transfer from forage legumes to nine neighbouring plants in a multi-species grassland. *Plant Soil* 350:71–84
- R Core Team (2014) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna
- Riffkin PA, Quigley P, Kearney G, Cameron F, Gault R, Peoples M, Thies J (1999) Factors associated with biological nitrogen fixation in dairy pastures in south-western Victoria. *Aust J Agric Res* 50:261–272
- Roscher C, Thein S, Weigelt A, Temperton V, Buchmann N, Schulze ED (2011) N_2 fixation and performance of 12 legume species in a 6-year grassland biodiversity experiment. *Plant Soil* 341:333–348
- Schipsanski ME, Drinkwater LE (2012) Nitrogen fixation in annual and perennial legume–grass mixtures across a fertility gradient. *Plant Soil* 357:147–159
- Shearer G, Kohl DH (1986) N_2 fixation in field settings: estimates based on natural ^{15}N abundance. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 13: 699–756
- Thorup-Kristensen K, Nielsen NE (1998) Modelling and measuring the effect of nitrogen catch crops on the nitrogen supply for succeeding crops. *Plant Soil* 203:79–89
- Thorup-Kristensen K, Magid J, Jensen LS (2003) Catch crops and green manures as biological tools in nitrogen management in temperate zones. *Adv Agron* 79:227–302
- Turner GL, Bergersen FJ (1983) Natural abundance of ^{15}N in root nodules of soybean, lupin, subterranean clover and lucerne. *Soil Biol Biochem* 15:525–530
- Unkovich MJ, Pate JS (2000) An appraisal of recent field measurements of symbiotic N_2 fixation by annual legumes. *Field Crops Res* 65:211–228
- Unkovich MJ, Pate JS, Armstrong EL (1994) Potential precision of the $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance method in field estimates of nitrogen fixation by crop and pasture legumes in South-West Australia. *Aust J Agric Res* 45:119–132
- Unkovich MJ, Pate JS, Sanford P (1997) Nitrogen fixation by annual legumes in Australian Mediterranean agriculture. *Aust J Agric Res* 48:267–293
- Unkovich M, Herridge D, Peoples M, Cadisch G, Boddey B, Giller K, Alves B, Chalk P (2008) Measuring plant associated nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems. Australian

- Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR), Australian Government, Canberra
- van Kessel C, Hartley C (2000) Agricultural management of grain legumes: has it led to an increase in nitrogen fixation? *Field Crops Res* 65:165–181
- Werner RA, Brand WA (2001) Referencing strategies and techniques in stable isotope ratio analysis. *Rapid Commun Mass Sp* 15:501–519
- Werner RA, Bruch BA, Brand WA (1999) ConFlo III—an interface for high precision $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analysis with an extended dynamic range. *Rapid Commun Mass Sp* 13:1237–1241
- Yoneyama T, Fujita K, Yoshida T, Matsumoto T, Kambayashi I, Yazaki J (1986) Variation in natural abundance of ^{15}N among plant parts and in $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ fractionation during N_2 fixation in the legume–rhizobia symbiotic system. *Plant Cell Physiol* 27: 791–799